

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/634,166	08/05/2003	Julian Crawford	035470.00001	6335
75	90 04/06/2006		EXAM	INER
Henry S. Jaude	on		BRUENJES, CH	RISTOPHER P
McNair Law Fi	rm, P.A.			
P.O. Box 10827	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Greenville, SC	29601		1772	
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				10
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_ <del></del>
		10/634,166	CRAWFORD, JULIAN	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Christopher P. Bruenjes	1772	
_	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
P (	eriod for Reply		(0) OD TUUDTY (00) DAY (0	
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  (a) In no event, however, may a reply be tirged;  (b) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
St	atus			
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ma	arch 2006	•	
		action is non-final.		
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		osecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		
_				
ال ا	sposition of Claims	•		
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-15</u> is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-15</u> is/are rejected.			
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Αį	oplication Papers			
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		,	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	•
	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Pr	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 25 LLC C \$ 110/o	\ (d) or (f)	
	a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 O.S.C. 9 119(a	)-(a) or (i).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
	application from the International Bureau	•		
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	ed.	
		·		
Atı	tachment(s)			
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) 3)	<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	
	apor mojojiman date	3, <u> </u>	·	

# Page 2

#### DETAILED ACTION

## WITHDRAWN REJECTIONS

1. The claim objections of claims 8 and 10 of record in the Office Action mailed December 28, 2005, Page 3 Paragraph 5, have been withdrawn due to Applicant's amendments in the Paper filed March 9, 2006.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 8-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 8, the limitation "said first elongation capabilities" lacks antecedent basis, because the limitation that the longitudinally extending filaments have "first elongation capabilities" in line 3 of claim 8 has been deleted.

Regarding claims 8-11 and 15, the limitation "said longitudinally extending drawn and heat set thermoplastic

Art Unit: 1772

filaments" lacks antecedent basis, because the limitation that the longitudinally extending filaments are "drawn and heat set" in line 3 of claim 8 has been deleted.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. The 35 U.S.C. 102 rejections of claims 8-12 and 14 as anticipated by O'Neil are repeated for the reasons set forth in the previous Office Action mailed December 28, 2005, Pages 3-6 Paragraph 7.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 7. The 35 U.S.C. 103 rejections of claims 13 and 15 over O'Neil are repeated for the reasons set forth in the previous Office Action mailed December 28, 2005, Pages 7-8 Paragraph 10.

## ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

- 8. Applicant's arguments regarding the claim objections of record have been considered but they are moot since the objections have been withdrawn.
- 9. Applicant's arguments regarding the 35 U.S.C. 102 rejections of claims 8-12 and 14 as anticipated by O'Neil and the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejections of claims 13 and 15 over O'Neil have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's argument that O'Neil is drawn to a thermoplastic twine and not a tubular fabric, the strands of O'Neil along the outer perimeter form a hollow cylindrical body and the strands inside of the outer perimeter fills the hollow cylindrical body formed by the outer perimeter strands. A tubular object is still considered tubular even when filled. Therefore, the thermoplastic twine of O'Neil reads on the claimed limitation of "tubular fabric".

In response to Applicant's argument that O'Neil fails to teach drawing and heat setting the longitudinal filaments, the limitations that the longitudinal filaments are drawn and heat set have been deleted from the claims.

In response to Applicant's argument that O'Neil fails to teach that longitudinal filaments have less elongation

Art Unit: 1772

characteristics than the elastic filaments, O'Neil specifically teaches that the longitudinal filaments are formed of thermoplastics and teaches that the preferred elastic filaments are formed of ethylene-propylene rubbers (col.3, 1.47-68), and rubbers are known to have greater elongation than thermoplastics.

#### Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/634,166

Art Unit: 1772

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Bruenjes whose telephone number is 571-272-1489. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher P Bruenjes Examiner

Art Unit 1772

CPB

CPB

April 4, 2006

HAROLD PYON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

PATENT EXAMINER 4/4/0

Page 6